| 1 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | DISTRICT OF NEVADA | |
| 3 | * * * | |
| 4 | JOHN MELNIK, | Case No. 3:16-CV-00670-MMD-CLB |
| 5 | Plaintiff, v. | ORDER DENYING AS MOOT MOTION TO ENFORCE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT |
| 7 | JAMES DZURENDA, <i>et al.</i> , | [ECF Nos. 98, 99] |
| 8 | Defendants. | [= 0 |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | Plaintiff John Melnik ("Melnik") filed | a motion to enforce settlement agreement |
| 11 | claiming that Defendants failed to remove \$1,961.26 in institutional debt from Melnik's | |
| 12 | account. (ECF No. 98, 99.)1 Defendants responded to the motion providing a copy of | |
| 13 | Melnik's account transaction summary evidencing removal of \$1,961.26 in debt on March | |
| 14 | 8, 2022 as agreed. (ECF No. 100.) Therefore, | |
| 15 | Melnik's motion to enforce settlement agreement is DENIED as moot. (ECF No. | |
| 16 | 98, 99.) The Court will not order sanctions as requested by Defendants; however, Melnik | |
| 17 | is cautioned that should he have any further concerns regarding the settlement | |
| 18 | agreement, he must meet and confer with defense counsel before filing any motion with | |
| 19 | this Court. | |
| 20 | DATED : April 5, 2022 | La Colle |
| 21 | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | ECF Nos. 98 and 99 are duplicate documents. | |